

COFINITENESS AND COASSOCIATED PRIMES OF LOCAL COHOMOLOGY MODULES

MOHARRAM AGHAPOURNAHR AND LEIF MELKERSSON

ABSTRACT. Let R be a noetherian ring, $\mathfrak{a}$ an ideal of R such that $\dim R/\mathfrak{a} = 1$ and M a finite R -module. We will study cofiniteness and some other properties of the local cohomology modules $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M)$. For an arbitrary ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ and an R -module M (not necessarily finite), we will characterize $\mathfrak{a}$ -cofinite artinian local cohomology modules. Certain sets of coassociated primes of top local cohomology modules over local rings are characterized.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout R is a commutative noetherian ring. By a finite module we mean a finitely generated module. For basic facts about commutative algebra see [3] and [9] and for local cohomology we refer to [2].

Grothendieck [7], made the following conjecture:

Conjecture. For every ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ and every finite R -module M , the module $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M))$ is finite for all n .

Hartshorne [8] showed that this is false in general. However, he defined an R -module M to be $\mathfrak{a}$ -cofinite if $\mathrm{Supp}_R(M) \subset V(\mathfrak{a})$ and $\mathrm{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, M)$ is finite (finitely generated) for each i and he asked the following question:

Question. If $\mathfrak{a}$ is an ideal of R and M is a finite R -module. When is $\mathrm{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^j(M))$ finite for every i and j ?

Hartshorne [8] showed that if $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is a complete regular local ring and M a finite R -module, then $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M)$ is $\mathfrak{a}$ -cofinite in two cases:

- (a) If $\mathfrak{a}$ is a nonzero principal ideal, and
- (b) If $\mathfrak{a}$ is a prime ideal with $\dim R/\mathfrak{a} = 1$.

Yoshida [14] and Delfino and Marley [4] extended (b) to all dimension one ideals $\mathfrak{a}$ of an arbitrary local ring R .

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13D45, 13D07.

Key words and phrases. Cofinite modules, weakly Laskerian modules, coassociated primes.

In 2.3, we give a characterization of the $\mathfrak{a}$ -cofiniteness of these local cohomology modules when $\mathfrak{a}$ is a one-dimensional ideal in a non-local ring. In this situation we also prove in 2.7, that these local cohomology modules always belong to a class introduced by Zöschinger in [16].

Our main result in this paper is 2.10, where we for an arbitrary ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ and an R -module M (not necessarily finite), characterize the artinian $\mathfrak{a}$ -cofinite local cohomology modules (in the range $i < n$). With the additional assumption that M is finitely generated, the characterization is also given by the existence of certain filter-regular sequences.

The second author has in [10, Theorem 5.5] previously characterized artinian local cohomology modules, (in the same range). In case the module M is not supposed to be finite, the two notions differ. For example let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal of a local ring R , such that $\dim(R/\mathfrak{a}) > 0$ and let M be the injective hull of the residue field of R . The module $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^0(M)$, which is equal to M , is artinian. However it is not $\mathfrak{a}$ -cofinite, since $0 \rightarrow M/\mathfrak{a}M$ does not have finite length.

An R -module M has *finite Goldie dimension* if M contains no infinite direct sum of submodules. For a commutative noetherian ring this can be expressed in two other ways, namely that the injective hull $E(M)$ of M decomposes as a finite direct sum of indecomposable injective modules or that M is an essential extension of a finite submodule.

A prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ is said to be *coassociated* to M if $\mathfrak{p} = \text{Ann}_R(M/N)$ for some $N \subset M$ such that M/N is artinian and is said to be *attached* to M if $\mathfrak{p} = \text{Ann}_R(M/N)$ for some arbitrary submodule N of M , equivalently $\mathfrak{p} = \text{Ann}_R(M/\mathfrak{p}M)$. The set of these prime ideals are denoted by $\text{Coass}_R(M)$ and $\text{Att}_R(M)$ respectively. Thus $\text{Coass}_R(M) \subset \text{Att}_R(M)$ and the two sets are equal when M is an artinian module. The two sets behave well with respect to exact sequences. If $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence, then

$$\text{Coass}_R(M'') \subset \text{Coass}_R(M) \subset \text{Coass}_R(M') \cup \text{Coass}_R(M'')$$

and

$$\text{Att}_R(M'') \subset \text{Att}_R(M) \subset \text{Att}_R(M') \cup \text{Att}_R(M'').$$

There are equalities $\text{Coass}_R(M \otimes_R N) = \text{Coass}_R(M) \cap \text{Supp}_R(N)$ and $\text{Att}_R(M \otimes_R N) = \text{Att}_R(M) \cap \text{Supp}_R(N)$, whenever the module N is required to be finite. We prove the second equality in 2.11. In particular $\text{Coass}_R(M/\mathfrak{a}M) = \text{Coass}_R(M) \cap V(\mathfrak{a})$ and $\text{Att}_R(M/\mathfrak{a}M) = \text{Att}_R(M) \cap V(\mathfrak{a})$ for every ideal $\mathfrak{a}$. Coassociated and attached prime ideals have been studied in particular by Zöschinger, [17] and [18].

In 2.13 we give a characterization of certain sets of coassociated primes of the highest nonvanishing local cohomology module $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M)$, where M is a finitely generated module over a complete local ring. In case it happens that $t = \dim M$, the characterization is given in [4, Lemma 3]. In that case the top local cohomology module is always artinian, but in general the top local cohomology module is not artinian if $t < \dim M$.

2. MAIN RESULTS

First we extend a result by Zöschinger [15, Lemma 1.3] with a much weaker condition. Our method of proof is also quite different.

Proposition 2.1. *Let M be a module over the noetherian ring R . The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) M is a finite R -module.
- (ii) $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a finite $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -module for all $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max } R$ and $\text{Min}_R(M/N)$ is a finite set for all finite submodules $N \subset M$.

Proof. The only nontrivial part is (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i).

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the set of finite submodules of M . For each $N \in \mathcal{F}$ the set $\text{Supp}_R(M/N)$ is closed in $\text{Spec}(R)$, since $\text{Min}_R(M/N)$ is a finite set. Also it follows from the hypothesis that, for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ there is $N \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $M_{\mathfrak{p}} = N_{\mathfrak{p}}$, that is $\mathfrak{p} \notin \text{Supp}_R(M/N)$. This means that $\bigcap_{N \in \mathcal{F}} \text{Supp}_R(M/N) = \emptyset$. Now $\text{Spec}(R)$ is a quasi-compact topological space. Consequently $\bigcap_{i=1}^r \text{Supp}_R(M/N_i) = \emptyset$ for some $N_1, \dots, N_r \in \mathcal{F}$. We claim that $M = N$, where $N = \sum_{i=1}^r N_i$. Just observe that $\text{Supp}_R(M/N) \subset \text{Supp}_R(M/N_i)$ for each i , and therefore $\text{Supp}_R(M/N) = \emptyset$. $\square$

Corollary 2.2. *Let M be an R -module such that $\text{Supp } M \subset V(\mathfrak{a})$ and $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is $\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -cofinite for each maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) M is $\mathfrak{a}$ -cofinite.
- (ii) For all j , $\text{Min}_R(\text{Ext}_R^j(R/\mathfrak{a}, M)/T)$ is a finite set for each finite submodule T of $\text{Ext}_R^j(R/\mathfrak{a}, M)$.

Proof. The only nontrivial part is (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i).

Suppose $\mathfrak{m}$ is a maximal ideal of R . By hypothesis $M_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is $\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -cofinite. Therefore $\text{Ext}_R^j(R/\mathfrak{a}, M)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a finite $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -module for all j . Hence by 2.1 $\text{Ext}_R^j(R/\mathfrak{a}, M)$ is finite for all j . Thus M is $\mathfrak{a}$ -cofinite. $\square$

Corollary 2.3. *Let $\mathfrak{a}$ an ideal of R such that $\dim R/\mathfrak{a} = 1$, M a finite R -module and $i \geq 0$. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M)$ is $\mathfrak{a}$ -cofinite.
- (ii) For all j , $\text{Min}_R(\text{Ext}_R^j(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M))/T)$ is a finite set for each finite submodule T of $\text{Ext}_R^j(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M))$.

Proof. For all maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}$, $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M)_{\mathfrak{m}} \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{m}}}^i(M_{\mathfrak{m}})$. By [4, Theorem 1] $H_{\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{m}}}^i(M_{\mathfrak{m}})$ is $\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -cofinite. $\square$

A module M is *weakly Laskerian*, when for each submodule N of M the quotient M/N has just finitely many associated primes, see [6]. A module M is $\mathfrak{a}$ -*weakly cofinite* if $\text{Supp}_R(M) \subset V(\mathfrak{a})$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, M)$ is weakly Laskerian for all i . Clearly each $\mathfrak{a}$ -cofinite module is $\mathfrak{a}$ -weakly cofinite but the converse is not true in general see [5, Example 3.5 (i) and (ii)].

Corollary 2.4. *If $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M)$ (with $\dim R/\mathfrak{a} = 1$) is an $\mathfrak{a}$ -weakly cofinite module, then it is also $\mathfrak{a}$ -cofinite.*

Next we will introduce a subcategory of the category of R -modules that has been studied by Zöschinger in [16, Satz 1.6].

Theorem 2.5. (Zöschinger) *For any R -module M the following are equivalent:*

- (i) M satisfies the minimal condition for submodules N such that M/N is soclefree.
- (ii) For any descending chain $N_1 \supset N_2 \supset N_3 \supset \dots$ of submodules of M , there is n such that the quotients N_i/N_{i+1} have support in $\text{Max } R$ for all $i \geq n$.
- (iii) With $L(M) = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max } R} \Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$, the module $M/L(M)$ has finite Goldie dimension, and $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} \leq 1$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(M)$.

If they are fulfilled, then for each monomorphism $f : M \longrightarrow M$,

$$\text{Supp}_R(\text{Coker } f) \subset \text{Max } R.$$

We will say that M is in the class $\mathcal{Z}$ if M satisfies the equivalent conditions in 2.5.

A module M is *soclefree* if it has no simple submodules, or in other terms $\text{Ass } M \cap \text{Max } R = \emptyset$. For example if M is a module over the local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ then the module $M/\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$, where $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$ is the submodule of M consisting of all elements of M annihilated by some high power $\mathfrak{m}^n$ of the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$, is always soclefree.

Proposition 2.6. *The class $\mathcal{Z}$ is a Serre subcategory of the category of R -modules, that is $\mathcal{Z}$ is closed under taking submodules, quotients and extensions.*

Proof. The only difficult part is to show that $\mathcal{Z}$ is closed under taking extensions. To this end let $0 \longrightarrow M' \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} M'' \longrightarrow 0$ be an exact sequence with $M', M'' \in \mathcal{Z}$ and let $N_1 \supset N_2 \supset \dots$ be a descending chain of submodules of M . Consider the descending chains $f^{-1}(N_1) \supset f^{-1}(N_2) \supset \dots$ and $g(N_1) \supset g(N_2) \supset \dots$ of submodules of M' and M'' respectively. By (ii) there is n such that $\text{Supp}_R(f^{-1}(N_i)/f^{-1}(N_{i+1})) \subset \text{Max } R$ and $\text{Supp}_R(g(N_i)/g(N_{i+1})) \subset \text{Max } R$ for all $i \geq n$. We use the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow f^{-1}(N_i)/f^{-1}(N_{i+1}) \longrightarrow N_i/N_{i+1} \longrightarrow g(N_i)/g(N_{i+1}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

to conclude that $\text{Supp}_R(N_i/N_{i+1}) \subset \text{Max } R$ for all $i \geq n$. $\square$

Theorem 2.7. *Let N be a module over a noetherian ring R and $\mathfrak{a}$ an ideal of R such that $\dim R/\mathfrak{a} = 1$. If $N_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is $\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ -cofinite for all $\mathfrak{m} \in \text{Max } R$, then N is in the class $\mathcal{Z}$. In particular, if M is a finite R -module then $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M)$ is in the class $\mathcal{Z}$ for all i .*

Proof. Let $X = N/L(N)$. Note that $\text{Ass}_R(X) \subset \text{Min } \mathfrak{a}$ and therefore is a finite set. Since

$$E(X) = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(X)} E(R/\mathfrak{p})^{\mu^i(\mathfrak{p}, X)},$$

it is enough to prove that $\mu^i(\mathfrak{p}, X)$ is finite for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(X)$. This is clear, since each $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(X)$ is minimal over $\mathfrak{a}$ and therefore $X_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong N_{\mathfrak{p}}$ which is, $\mathfrak{a}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -cofinite, i.e. artinian over $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. $\square$

Given elements $x_1, \dots, x_r$ in R , we denote by $H^i(x_1, \dots, x_r; M)$ the i 'th Koszul cohomology module of the R -module M . The following lemma is used in the proof of 2.10.

Lemma 2.8. *Let E be an injective module. If $H^0(x_1, \dots, x_r; E) = 0$, then $H^i(x_1, \dots, x_r; E) = 0$ for all i .*

Proof. We may assume that $E = E(R/\mathfrak{p})$ for some prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$, since E is a direct sum of modules of this form, and Koszul cohomology preserves (arbitrary) direct sums.

Put $\mathfrak{a} = (x_1, \dots, x_r)$. By hypothesis $0 :_E \mathfrak{a} = 0$, which means that $\mathfrak{a} \not\subset \mathfrak{p}$. Take an element $s \in \mathfrak{a} \setminus \mathfrak{p}$. It acts bijectively on E , hence also on $H^i(x_1, \dots, x_r; E)$ for each i . But $\mathfrak{a} \subset \text{Ann}_R(H^i(x_1, \dots, x_r; E))$ for all i , so the element s therefore acts as the zero homomorphism on each $H^i(x_1, \dots, x_r; E)$. The conclusion follows. $\square$

First we state the definition, given in [10], of the notion of filter regularity on modules (not necessarily finite) over any noetherian ring. When $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ is local and M is finite, it yields the ordinary notion of filter-regularity, see [13].

Definition 2.9. Let M be a module over the noetherian ring R . An element x of R is called filter-regular on M if the module $0 :_M x$ has finite length.

A sequence $x_1, \dots, x_s$ is said to be filter regular on M if x_j is filter-regular on $M/(x_1, \dots, x_{j-1})M$ for $j = 1, \dots, s$.

The following theorem yields a characterization of artinian cofinite local cohomology modules.

Theorem 2.10. Let $\mathfrak{a} = (x_1, \dots, x_r)$ be an ideal of a noetherian ring R and let n be a positive integer. For each R -module M the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i) $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M)$ is artinian and $\mathfrak{a}$ -cofinite for all $i < n$.
- (ii) $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, M)$ has finite length for all $i < n$.
- (iii) The Koszul cohomology modules $H^i(x_1, \dots, x_r; M)$ has finite length for all $i < n$.

When M is finite these conditions are also equivalent to:

- (iv) $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M)$ is artinian for all $i < n$.
- (v) There is a sequence of length n in $\mathfrak{a}$ that is filter-regular on M .

Proof. We use induction on n . When $n = 1$ the conditions (ii) and (iii) both say that $0 :_M \mathfrak{a}$ has finite length, and they are therefore equivalent to (i) [10, Proposition 4.1].

Let $n > 1$ and assume that the conditions are equivalent when n is replaced by $n - 1$. Put $L = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$ and $\overline{M} = M/L$ and form the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow \overline{M} \rightarrow 0$. We have $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(\overline{M}) = 0$ and $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(\overline{M}) \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M)$ for all $i > 0$. There are exact sequences

$$\text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, L) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, M) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, \overline{M}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(R/\mathfrak{a}, L)$$

and

$$H^i(x_1, \dots, x_r; L) \rightarrow H^i(x_1, \dots, x_r; M) \rightarrow H^i(x_1, \dots, x_r; \overline{M}) \rightarrow H^{i+1}(x_1, \dots, x_r; L)$$

Because L is artinian and $\mathfrak{a}$ -cofinite the outer terms of both exact sequences have finite length. Hence M satisfies one of the conditions if and only if $\overline{M}$ satisfies the same condition. We may therefore assume that $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) = 0$.

Let E be the injective hull of M and put $N = E/M$. Consider the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow E \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$. We know that $0 :_M \mathfrak{a} = 0$. Therefore $0 :_E \mathfrak{a} = 0$ and $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(E) = 0$. Consequently there are isomorphisms for all $i \geq 0$:

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i+1}(M) \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(N),$$

$$\mathrm{Ext}_R^{i+1}(R/\mathfrak{a}, M) \cong \mathrm{Ext}_R^i(R/\mathfrak{a}, N)$$

and

$$H^{i+1}(x_1, \dots, x_r; M) \cong H^i(x_1, \dots, x_r; N).$$

In order to get the third isomorphism, we used that $H^i(x_1, \dots, x_r; E) = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$ (2.8). Hence M satisfies one of the three conditions if and only if N satisfies the same condition, with n replaced by $n - 1$. By induction, we may therefore conclude that the module M satisfies all three conditions if it satisfies one of them.

Let now M be a finite module.

(ii) $\Leftrightarrow$ (iv) Use [10, Theorem 5.5 (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii)].

(v) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Use [10, Theorem 6.4].

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (v) We give a proof by induction on n . Put $L = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$ and $\overline{M} = M/L$. Then $\mathrm{Ass}_R L = \mathrm{Ass}_R M \cap V(\mathfrak{a})$ and $\mathrm{Ass}_R \overline{M} = \mathrm{Ass}_R M \setminus V(\mathfrak{a})$. The module L has finite length and therefore $\mathrm{Ass}_R L \subset \mathrm{Max} R$. By prime avoidance take an element $y_1 \in \mathfrak{a} \setminus \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}_R(\overline{M})} \mathfrak{p}$. Then $\mathrm{Ass}_R(0 :_M y_1) = \mathrm{Ass}_R(M) \cap V(y_1) = (\mathrm{Ass}_R L \cap V(y_1)) \cup (\mathrm{Ass}_R \overline{M} \cap V(y_1)) \subset \mathrm{Max} R$. Hence $0 :_M y_1$ has finite length, so the element $y_1 \in \mathfrak{a}$ is filter regular on M .

Suppose $n > 1$ and take y_1 as above.

Note that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M) \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(\overline{M})$ for all $i \geq 1$. Thus we may replace M by $\overline{M}$, [10, Proposition 6.3 (b)], and we may assume that y_1 is a non-zero-divisor on M .

The exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{y_1} M \rightarrow M/y_1 M \rightarrow 0$ yields the long exact sequence

$$\dots \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i-1}(M) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i-1}(M/y_1 M) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M) \longrightarrow \dots$$

Hence $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M/y_1 M)$ is $\mathfrak{a}$ -cofinite and artinian for all $i < n - 1$, by [11, Corollary 1.7]. Therefore by the induction hypothesis there exists $y_2, \dots, y_n$ in $\mathfrak{a}$, which is filter-regular on $M/y_1 M$. Thus $y_1, \dots, y_n$ is filter-regular on M . $\square$

Remark. In [1] we studied the kernel and cokernel of the natural homomorphism $f : \mathrm{Ext}_R^n(R/\mathfrak{a}, M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M))$. Applying the criterion of 2.10 we get that if $\mathrm{Ext}_R^{t-j}(R/\mathfrak{a}, H_{\mathfrak{a}}^j(M))$ has finite length for $t = n, n + 1$ and for all $j < n$, then $\mathrm{Ext}_R^n(R/\mathfrak{a}, M)$ has finite length if and only if $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^n(M)$ is $\mathfrak{a}$ -cofinite artinian.

Next we will study attached and coassociated prime ideals for the last nonvanishing local cohomology module. First we prove a lemma used in 2.13

Lemma 2.11. *For all R -modules M and for every finite R -module N ,*

$$\text{Att}_R(M \otimes_R N) = \text{Att}_R(M) \cap \text{Supp}_R(N).$$

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Att}_R(M \otimes_R N)$, so $\mathfrak{p} = \text{Ann}_R((M \otimes_R N) \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{p})$. However this ideal contains both $\text{Ann}_R(M/\mathfrak{p}M)$ and $\text{Ann}_R(N)$ and therefore $\mathfrak{p} = \text{Ann}_R(M/\mathfrak{p}M)$ and $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}_R(N)$.

Conversely let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Att}_R(M) \cap \text{Supp}_R(N)$. Then $\mathfrak{p} = \text{Ann}_R M/\mathfrak{p}M$ and we want to show that $\mathfrak{p} = \text{Ann}_R((M \otimes_R N) \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{p})$. Since

$$(M \otimes_R N) \otimes_R R/\mathfrak{p} \cong M/\mathfrak{p}M \otimes_{R/\mathfrak{p}} N/\mathfrak{p}N,$$

we may assume that R is a domain and $\mathfrak{p} = (0)$. Let K be the field of fractions of R . Then $\text{Ann}_R M = 0$ and $N \otimes_R K \neq 0$. Therefore the natural homomorphism $f : R \rightarrow \text{End}_R(M)$ is injective and we have the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, R) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(N, \text{End}_R(M)).$$

But $\text{Hom}_R(N, \text{End}_R(M)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(M \otimes_R N, M)$. Hence we get

$$\text{Ann}_R(M \otimes_R N) \subset \text{Ann}_R \text{Hom}_R(M \otimes_R N, M) \subset \text{Ann}_R \text{Hom}_R(N, R) \subset \text{Ann}_R(\text{Hom}_R(N, R) \otimes_R K).$$

On the other hand $\text{Hom}_R(N, R) \otimes_R K \cong \text{Hom}_R(N \otimes_R K, K)$, which is a nonzero vector space over K . Consequently $\text{Ann}_R(M \otimes_R N) = 0$. $\square$

Theorem 2.12. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a complete local ring and let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an ideal of R . Let t be a nonnegative integer such that $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(R) = 0$ for all $i > t$.*

- (a) *If $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Att}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(R))$ then $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} \geq t$.*
- (b) *If $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal such that $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} = t$, then the following conditions are equivalent:*
 - (i) $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Coass}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(R))$.
 - (ii) $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Att}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(R))$.
 - (iii) $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(R/\mathfrak{p}) \neq 0$.
 - (iv) $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{m}$.

Proof. (a) By the right exactness of the functor $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(-)$ we have

$$(1) \quad H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(R/\mathfrak{p}) \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(R)/\mathfrak{p} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(R)$$

If $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Att}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(R))$, then $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(R)/\mathfrak{p} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(R) \neq 0$. Hence $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(R/\mathfrak{p}) \neq 0$ and $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} \geq t$.

(b) Since $R/\mathfrak{p}$ is a complete local domain of dimension t , the equivalence of (iii) and (iv) follows from the local Lichtenbaum Hartshorne vanishing theorem.

If $H_a^t(R/\mathfrak{p}) \neq 0$, then by (1) $H_a^t(R)/\mathfrak{p}H_a^t(R) \neq 0$. Therefore $\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{q}$ for some $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Coass}_R(H_a^t(R)) \subset \text{Att}_R(H_a^t(R))$. By (a) $\dim R/\mathfrak{q} \geq t = \dim R/\mathfrak{p}$, so we must have $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q}$. Thus (iii) implies (i) and since always $\text{Coass}_R(H_a^t(R)) \subset \text{Att}_R(H_a^t(R))$, (i) implies (ii).

If (ii) holds then the module $H_a^t(R)/\mathfrak{p}H_a^t(R) \neq 0$, since its annihilator is zero. Hence, using again the isomorphism (1), (ii) implies (iii). $\square$

Corollary 2.13. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a complete local ring, $\mathfrak{a}$ an ideal of R and M a finite R -module and t a nonnegative integer such that $H_a^i(M) = 0$ for all $i > t$.*

- (a) *If $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Att}_R(H_a^t(M))$ then $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} \geq t$.*
- (b) *If $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal in $\text{Supp}_R(M)$ such that $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} = t$, then the following conditions are equivalent:*
 - (i) $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Coass}_R(H_a^t(M))$.
 - (ii) $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Att}_R(H_a^t(M))$.
 - (iii) $H_a^t(R/\mathfrak{p}) \neq 0$.
 - (iv) $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{m}$.

Proof. Passing from R to $R/\text{Ann } M$, we may assume that $\text{Ann } M = 0$ and therefore using Gruson's theorem, see [12, Theorem 4.1], $H_a^i(N) = 0$ for all $i > t$ and every R -module N . Hence the functor $H_a^t(-)$ is right exact and therefore, since it preserves direct limits, we get

$$H_a^t(M) \cong M \otimes_R H_a^t(R).$$

The claims follow from 2.12 using the following equalities

$$\text{Coass}_R(H_a^t(M)) = \text{Coass}_R(H_a^t(R)) \cap \text{Supp}_R(M)$$

by [16, Folgerung 3.2] and

$$\text{Att}_R(H_a^t(M)) = \text{Att}_R(H_a^t(R)) \cap \text{Supp}_R(M)$$

by 2.11. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aghapournahr, L. Melkersson, *A natural map in local cohomology*, preprint.
- [2] M.P. Brodmann, R.Y. Sharp, *Local cohomology: an algebraic introduction with geometric applications*, Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [3] W. Bruns, J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Cambridge University Press, revised ed., 1998.
- [4] D. Delfino and T. Marley, *Cofinite modules and local cohomology*, J. Pure Appl. Alg. **121**(1997), 45–52.

- [5] K. Divaani-Aazar, A. Mafi *Associated primes of local cohomology modules of weakly Laskerian modules* Comm. Algebra **34**(2006), 681–690.
- [6] K. Divaani-Aazar, A. Mafi, *Associated primes of local cohomology modules*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (2005), 655–660.
- [7] A. Grothendieck, *Cohomologie locale des faisceaux cohérents et théorèmes de Lefschetz locaux et globaux (SGA 2)*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968.
- [8] R. Hartshorne, *Affine duality and cofiniteness*, Invent. Math. **9** (1970), 145–164.
- [9] H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, Cambridge University Press, 1986.
- [10] L. Melkersson, *Modules cofinite with respect to an ideal*, J. Algebra. **285**(2005), 649–668.
- [11] L. Melkersson, *Properties of cofinite modules and applications to local cohomology*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **125** (1999), 417–423.
- [12] W. Vasconcelos, *Divisor theory in module categories*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1974.
- [13] P. Schenzel, N. V. Trung, N. T. Cuong, *Verallgemeinerte Cohen-Macaulay-Moduln*, Math. Nachr. **85**(1978), 57–73.
- [14] K. I. Yoshida, *Cofiniteness of local cohomology modules for ideals of dimension one*, Nagoya Math. J. **147**(1997), 179–191.
- [15] H. Zöschinger, *Koatomare Moduln*, Math. Z. **170**(1980) 221–232.
- [16] H. Zöschinger, *Minimax Moduln*, J. Algebra. **102**(1986), 1–32.
- [17] H. Zöschinger, *Über koassozierte Primideale*, Math Scand. **63**(1988), 196–211.
- [18] H. Zöschinger, *Linear-kompakte Moduln über noetherschen Ringen*, Arch Math. **41**(1983), 121–130.

MOHARRAM AGHAPOURNAHR, ARAK UNIVERSITY, BEHESHTI ST, P.O. Box:879, ARAK, IRAN

E-mail address: m-aghapour@araku.ac.ir

E-mail address: m.aghapour@gmail.com

LEIF MELKERSSON, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LINKÖPING UNIVERSITY, SE-581 83 LINKÖPING, SWEDEN

E-mail address: lemel@mai.liu.se